

Vision During Saccadic Eye Movements

Paola Binda^{1,2} and Maria Concetta Morrone^{1,3}

¹Department of Translational Research on New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, 56123 Pisa, Italy; email: concetta@in.cnr.it, paola.binda@unipi.it

²CNR Institute of Neuroscience, 56123 Pisa, Italy

³IRCCS Fondazione Stella-Maris, Calambrone, 56128 Pisa, Italy

Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 2018. 4:193–213

The *Annual Review of Vision Science* is online at vision.annualreviews.org

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-vision-091517-034317>

Copyright © 2018 by Annual Reviews.
All rights reserved

**ANNUAL
REVIEWS CONNECT**

www.annualreviews.org

- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Keywords

saccades, pupil, contrast sensitivity, time perception, space perception, numerosity

Abstract

The perceptual consequences of eye movements are manifold: Each large saccade is accompanied by a drop of sensitivity to luminance-contrast, low-frequency stimuli, impacting both conscious vision and involuntary responses, including pupillary constrictions. They also produce transient distortions of space, time, and number, which cannot be attributed to the mere motion on the retinae. All these are signs that the visual system evokes active processes to predict and counteract the consequences of saccades. We propose that a key mechanism is the reorganization of spatiotemporal visual fields, which transiently increases the temporal and spatial uncertainty of visual representations just before and during saccades. On one hand, this accounts for the spatiotemporal distortions of visual perception; on the other hand, it implements a mechanism for fusing pre- and postsaccadic stimuli. This, together with the active suppression of motion signals, ensures the stability and continuity of our visual experience.

INTRODUCTION

The world seems to stay put, despite continual saccadic eye movements that actively reposition our gaze two to three times a second. The problem of visual stability during eye movements is an old one, already formulated in clear terms by 11th century Persian scholar Alhazen (1083):

For if the eye moves in front of visible objects while they are being contemplated, the form of every one of the objects facing the eye . . . will move on the eyes as the latter moves. But sight has become accustomed to the motion of the objects' forms on its surface when the objects are stationary, and therefore does not judge the objects to be in motion.


To date, the problem is far from solved; it can be broadly divided into two separate issues. Why do we not perceive the motion of the retinal image produced as the eye sweeps over the visual field? And how do we cope dynamically “on-line” with the continual changes in the retinal image produced by each saccade to construct a stable representation of the world from the successive so-called snapshots of each fixation? This review highlights the progress made in the last few decades in these fields; we focus on large saccades. For smooth pursuit eye movements, please see the recent review by Schutz and colleagues (2011); for small saccades and drift (fixational eye movements), please refer to a recent *Annual Review of Vision Science* article written by Rucci & Poletti (2015).

ACTIVE, SELECTIVE SACCADIC SUPPRESSION

One major component of the general problem of visual stability is why the fast motion of the retinal image generated by the movement of the eyes completely escapes notice: Comparable wide-field motion generated externally is highly visible and somewhat disturbing (Allison et al. 2010, Burr et al. 1982). It has long been suspected that vision is somehow suppressed during saccades (Holt 1903), but the nature of the suppression has remained elusive. Now it is clear that the suppression is neither a “central anesthesia” of the visual system (Holt 1903) nor a “gray-out of the world due to fast motion” (Campbell & Wurtz 1978, Dodge 1900, Woodworth 1906), as this motion is actually visible, extremely so at low spatial frequencies (Burr & Ross 1982). What happens is that some stimuli are actively suppressed by saccades, while others are not: Stimuli of low spatial frequencies, presented very briefly and in optimal conditions to discard retinal painting, are very difficult to detect if flashed just prior to a saccade, while stimuli of high spatial frequencies remain equally visible, as shown in **Figure 1a** (Burr et al. 1982, Volkman et al. 1978). Equiluminant stimuli (varying in color but not luminance) are not suppressed, or are minimally suppressed, during saccades (Braun et al. 2017, Gu et al. 2014) and can even be enhanced (Burr et al. 1994, Diamond et al. 2000, Knöll et al. 2011, Uchikawa & Sato 1995), implying that the parvocellular pathway, essential for chromatic discrimination, is left unimpaired, while the magnocellular pathway is specifically suppressed (**Figure 1a**). These results are corroborated by poor sensitivity to motion perception (Burr et al. 1982, 1999; Shioiri & Cavanagh 1989), with suppression taking place at the input of the motion detection signals (Burr et al. 1999) and not at a level of conscious motion perception. Poor sensitivity does not mean complete blindness to motion. Indeed, some residual perception of motion can be elicited owing to retinal painting: During the saccade, stationary stimuli are represented on a moving retina and therefore produce a retinal motion signal, which may be perceived (Castet et al. 2002), consistent with the idea that the motion suppression does not occur at high decision levels; for the same reason, stimuli moving at very high speed (invisible in fixation) produce a slower retinal motion during a saccade in the stimulus direction and may become visible (Castet & Masson 2000). Neither of these phenomena is evidence against the reduction of magnocellular sensitivity during saccades and neither shows how

good (or poor) motion perception is during saccades. They both are consistent with the hypothesis that magnocellular activity is strongly but not completely suppressed during saccades—which we suggest could be enough to reduce the salience of the strong full-field motion that would otherwise have a distracting and disruptive effect on perceptual continuity.

Saccadic suppression follows a specific and very tight time course, illustrated in **Figure 1b**, which is very different from saccadic enhancement for equiluminant stimuli (**Figure 1f**). Sensitivity for seeing low-spatial-frequency, luminance-modulated brief stimuli declines 25 ms before saccadic onset, reaches a minimum at the onset of the saccade, then rapidly recovers to normal levels 50 ms afterward. The suppression effect is multiplicative and homogeneous at all eccentricities (Knöll et al. 2011), in contrast to what was previously postulated (Mitrani et al. 1970). Does the suppression result from a central nonvisual corollary discharge signal (Wurtz 2008), or



(Caption appears on following page)

Figure 1 (Figure appears on preceding page)

Effect of saccades on human contrast sensitivity and human blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) and pupillary responses. (a) Contrast sensitivity function for luminance (*blue symbols*) and red/green equiluminant grating (*orange symbols*) presented for 5 ms during fixation (*open symbols*) or parallel to the saccade (*filled symbols*). (b) Luminance-contrast sensitivity as a function of presentation time from saccadic onset (*blue symbols*). The open blue circles show measurements made in identical conditions for a simulated saccade using a rotating mirror. The stars plot the exponential of the V1 BOLD amplitude under the hypothesis that BOLD amplitude is proportional to log contrast sensitivity. (c) Performance in detecting a small contrast increment of a brief sinusoidal grating presented in ± 1 s from the onset of a voluntary saccade performed between two stable saccadic targets. The suppression is embedded on a slow perceptual oscillation. (d) Same results as in panel *b*, except that the background was a high-contrast random check pattern. The gray shaded area indicates the region where sensitivity was greater during the saccade than in fixation. (e) Saccadic suppression also influences pupillary responses. A flash of 62 cd/m^2 shown against a background of 37.2 cd/m^2 evokes weaker pupil constriction when presented perisaccadically (*blue*) than postsaccadically (*red*)—triangles on the *x*-axis show the presentation time of the flashes, and the peak pupil response takes about 500 ms to develop. Notably, a saccade executed in the absence of any visual flash (*black*) is sufficient to evoke a small transient pupil constriction. (f) Equiluminant contrast sensitivity during real (*filled symbols*) and simulated saccade (*open symbols*). Panels *a* and *d* are adapted from data from Diamond et al. (2000), panel *b* is adapted from data from Diamond et al. (2000) (*open and filled circles*) and from Vallines & Greenlee (2006) (*stars*), panel *c* is adapted from data from Benedetto & Morrone (2017), panel *e* is adapted from data from Benedetto & Binda (2016), and panel *f* is adapted from Knöll et al. (2011).

does it result simply from visual masking effects? The latter would seem unlikely, as great care was taken to ensure a uniform surround. However, addressing the role that masking may play is important. To be certain that the saccade itself was essential for the suppression, we simulated saccadic eye movements by viewing the stimulus setup through a mirror that could be rotated at saccadic speeds. When the background was uniform, with minimal visual references, the simulated saccades had little or no effect on sensitivity, which is very different from the strong suppression effect seen during an actual saccade (**Figure 1b**).

But that is not to say that under more natural conditions, masking does not occur. When the test stimulus is embedded within a textured screen, simulated saccades do decrease contrast sensitivity (**Figure 1d**). This pattern of results has also been observed with more natural signals, like real scenes (Dorr & Bex 2013), pointing to visual masking contributing to suppression in the presence of a visual background. Interestingly, during simulated saccades and in the presence of a masking background (noise or natural scenes), high spatial frequencies are suppressed like low ones, strengthening the suggestion that real saccades and masking effects tap different mechanisms. Before the saccade, the two cooperate with similar dynamics. After saccadic offset, however, the two mechanisms behave very differently: The suppression lasts much longer for masking, compared to a real saccade (**Figure 1d**). This suggests that after the saccade, sensitivity is greater than that expected with comparable motion without the saccade, implying a postsaccadic facilitation. The timing for this postsaccadic facilitation is very similar to that observed for equiluminant stimuli. Interestingly, for the equiluminant stimuli, the simulated and real saccade produce the same effects (**Figure 1f**), indicating that the facilitation is related to the spurious retinal motion and not to an active mechanism associated with the saccade. This is true too for small perisaccadic suppression sometimes observed also for equiluminant gratings presented at peripheral eccentricities (see figure 5c in Knöll et al. 2011; Braun et al. 2017).

That luminance-contrast suppression is different for real saccades and simulated saccades shows that suppression results at least in part from an active, extraretinal signal. The interaction between this active suppression signal and the spurious retinal motion generated by the eye movement must occur exactly at the crucial time: at saccadic onset. Given that saccadic reaction times are

long and variable, the temporal synchronization between suppression and saccade onset may be not easy to achieve. Recently, we observed that saccadic suppression is systematically embedded in a series of oscillations of contrast sensitivity that fluctuate rhythmically in the delta range (at about 3 Hz), commencing about 1 s before saccade execution and lasting for up to 1 s after the saccade. Synchronization of internal rhythms leading to perceptual oscillation is a consequence of many events, like any abrupt sensory signal or attentional shift and even a decision process (Engel et al. 2001, Schroeder et al. 2010, VanRullen & Koch 2003). To avoid the chance that the oscillation could be induced by exogenous signals (like flashing the saccadic target), we asked the subjects to perform saccades at their own (slow) pace, and we eliminated nearly all visual cues. The results show that saccadic preparation and visual sensitivity oscillations are coupled, and we suggest that the coupling might be instrumental in temporally aligning the initiation of the saccade with the visual suppression (**Figure 1c**). Interestingly, the timing of the first postsaccadic peak in detectability (**Figure 1c**) corresponds very well with the facilitation observed in **Figure 1d** and in the study by Dorr & Bex (2013) and is consistent with the boost of visibility at low frequency displayed for long duration observed by Boi et al. (2017). The facilitation, which may help to achieve an optimal recruitment of information at the various spatial scales of analysis (Boi et al. 2017), is a result of an ongoing oscillation (see **Figure 1c**) generated by the synchronization of endogenous internal rhythms.

Additional evidence in support of the idea that saccade planning actively modulates visual sensitivity comes from developmental studies. The amount of suppression at saccadic onset varies with age and is much stronger in adolescent children of 12–14 years than in adults (Bruno et al. 2006), even though motion perception and masking are largely adult-like by that age (Maurer et al. 2005, Parrish et al. 2005). This suggests that the mechanisms mediating suppression are still developing into late adolescence. As the saccadic motor system is also not completely mature during adolescence (Fischer et al. 1997), this is further evidence that the extraretinal signal responsible for mediating the saccadic suppression may be linked to the motor system.

Psychophysical studies indicate that saccadic suppression occurs early in the visual system (Burr et al. 1994) at or before the site of contrast masking (Watson & Krekelberg 2011) and before low-level motion processing (Burr et al. 1999). Thilo et al. (2003) addressed this question more directly with a clever electrophysiological technique. Replicating an old study by Riggs et al. (1974), they showed that visual phosphenes produced by electrical stimulation of the eye are suppressed during saccades. But phosphenes of cortical origin—V1 or V2—generated with the technique of transcranial magnetic stimulation were not suppressed. This strongly suggests that saccadic suppression occurs early, before the site of generation of cortical phosphenes, probably within the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), or perhaps within V1 itself. An fMRI (Sylvester et al. 2005) study that measured blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) activity of LGN while subjects made saccades over a field of constant illumination (to avoid the generation of spurious retinal motion) showed a clear suppression in both LGN and V1, reinforcing early suggestions of saccadic suppression in the dark in V1 (Bodis-Wollner et al. 1999, Paus et al. 1995). Interestingly, the amplitude of the BOLD responses in V1 decreases as the stimuli were presented closer to the saccadic onset, following a dynamic similar to that observed psychophysically (**Figure 1b**), again suggesting an early site of action.

Although evidence for suppression at these early stages (V1 and LGN) is strong, there is also fMRI evidence for later postthalamic modulation of responses. For example, the BOLD response to luminance stimuli is relatively suppressed compared with that to chromatic stimuli during saccades, but the attenuation varies across areas (Kleiser et al. 2004); it is strong in MT—as expected—but it is also strong in V4, a cortical area receiving more parvocellular than magnocellular input (Watson & Krekelberg 2009). That saccadic suppression occurs at multiple levels

should not be surprising. Many basic sensory phenomena, such as gain control, do not occur at a single site but at virtually every possible location: photoreceptors, retinal ganglion cells, LGN cells, and cortex (Shapley & Enroth-Cugell 1984). Indeed, the parallels between saccadic suppression and contrast gain control are strong, suggesting that they may share similar mechanisms. During saccades, the temporal impulse response to luminance, but not to equiluminant stimuli, becomes faster and more transient (Burr & Morrone 1996). These results suggest that saccadic suppression may act by attenuating the contrast gain of the neuronal response, causing a faster impulse response (Shapley & Victor 1981). Changing contrast gain makes neurons less responsive to low-contrast stimuli, decreasing the effectiveness of the spurious signals caused by the saccade, hence facilitating the recovery to normal sensitivity. This would certainly be an elegant and economical solution to the problem of saccadic suppression, taking advantage of mechanisms already in place.

The idea that gain control explains both the suppression and rapid recovery during saccades has been implemented in a model that simulates quantitatively the time course of contrast sensitivity in normal and simulated saccade (Diamond et al. 2000). Interestingly, changing response gain is one of the few mechanisms that can explain simultaneously many saccadic suppression properties. It can account for the similarity in sensitivities of real and simulated saccade in the presence of a noise background, but not with homogeneous background; and it can explain the dependence of suppression from input noise (Watson & Krekelberg 2011). It can also explain the postsaccadic enhancement, the change of the impulse response function (Burr & Morrone 1996), and also the change in the strength of masking between brief pre- and postsaccadic stimuli (Burr et al. 1994). Interestingly, all these properties observed measuring human sensitivities (perisaccadic gain modulation, suppression followed by facilitation with a dynamic similar to those observed by simulated saccades) have been also observed at the level of a single unit of monkey V1 (McFarland et al. 2015). Both sets of evidence (for a complete review of single unit evidence, please refer to Wurtz 2008) agree in suggesting the role of an internal signal that modulates response gain also at thalamic levels. By operating on gain-control mechanisms, saccadic suppression would serve two important roles: the suppression of image motion, which would otherwise be disturbing, and the rapid return to normal sensitivity after the saccade.

SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL DISTORTIONS OF OBJECT LOCALIZATION DURING SACCADES

Besides the (relatively) simple problem of suppressing the motion caused by the fast-moving image on the retina, the brain must also take into account the saccadic movement when determining the instantaneous position of objects in space. Like Alhazen, Helmholtz (1866) recognized that “the effort of will involved in trying to alter the adjustment of the eyes” could be used to help stabilize perception. Models based on similar ideas of compensation of eye movements were proposed by Sperry (1950) in the 1950s with the concept of corollary discharge and by Von Holst & Mittelstaedt (1954) with the concept of efference copy: The effort of will in making the eye movements (corollary discharge or efference copy) is subtracted from the retinal signal to cancel the motion produced by the eye movement and stabilize perception. Now we know that retinal motion signals cannot be easily compensated, given the sophisticated analysis performed by motion detectors. However, there is evidence for the existence of a corollary discharge signal that must be instrumental in maintaining visual stability: both neurophysiological (reviewed by Sun & Goldberg 2016, Wurtz 2008) and psychophysical. The latter is primarily related to the perisaccadic distortions of visual space perception. The first report dates back to the 1960s, when Leonard Matin and others reported large transient changes in spatial localization at the time of saccades. When asked to report the position of a target flashed during a saccade, subjects

mislocalized it, primarily in the direction of the saccade (Honda 1991, Mateeff 1978, Matin & Pearce 1965). Later, Mateeff and Honda measured the time course of this effect and showed that the error starts about 50 ms before the saccadic onset and continues well after fixation is regained. The error before the saccadic onset has been taken as an indication of the existence of a slow and sluggish corollary discharge signal that compensates partly for the eye movement: The internal representation of the position and the actual position of the gaze do not match, resulting in errors in the localization of a briefly presented visual target. But localization errors can be more complex: When examined in photopic conditions, visual space is not so much shifted in the direction of the saccade but compressed toward the saccadic target (**Figure 2a**).

Objects flashed at saccadic onset to a range of positions, from close to fixation to positions well beyond the saccadic target, are all perceived at or near the saccadic target. The effect is primarily parallel to the saccade direction (Ross et al. 1997), although a small compression is also observed in the orthogonal direction (Kaiser & Lappe 2004; Zimmermann et al. 2014c, 2015). These results are intriguing because they indicate that the process described mathematically as a simple translation of the internal coordinate system is not plausible: Perhaps the system cannot perform the transformation of space without additional perceptual costs. The perisaccadic compression of space is so strong that four bars, spread over 20°, are perceived as fused into a single bar (Ross et al. 1997). Discrimination of shape (Matsumiya & Uchikawa 2001) or colors (Lappe et al. 2006, Wittenberg et al. 2008) of the bars is still possible, but counting them and perceiving them in separate positions are not. The fact that the feature itself is not lost or compressed suggests that the mislocalization occurs at a relatively high level of analysis, after feature extraction.

What causes the different perisaccadic behaviors—mislocalization or compression? It has been suggested that saccadic compression occurs only when visual references are present. However, several studies have shown that visual references per se (like scattered points on a monitor) do not affect localization of a perisaccadic probe, which is affected by only two kinds of stimuli: the saccade target and/or another perisaccadic stimulus with matching visual features (Cicchini et al. 2013, Zimmermann et al. 2014c). The saccade target is the focus of perisaccadic compression, and no compression is observed when the saccade target is withheld (Zimmermann et al. 2014c). A saccade without saccade target is an uncommon scenario, but it is typically encountered in experiments using the double-step saccade paradigm (Zimmermann et al. 2015). Subjects are instructed to move their gaze sequentially through two positions, and their markers are removed well before the eye movement sequence is initiated. Flashed stimuli presented at about the time of the second saccade are localized quasi-verbatim, showing no compression (**Figure 2b**), whereas stimuli presented at about the time of the first saccade are subject to the usual pattern of mislocalization, drawn toward the saccade target (as in **Figure 2a**). Another condition claimed to be exempt of compression is when stimuli are presented in complete darkness (Awater & Lappe 2006, Lappe et al. 2000). However, in this case, the pattern of localization errors may be complicated by an additional phenomenon: a mislocalization of the saccade target itself (Awater & Lappe 2006, Morrone et al. 2005a), which might be drawn toward the flashed bar, implying that compression is still strong, only anchored to a different stimulus.

Perhaps the strongest influence on the localization of a perisaccadic flashed stimulus is exerted by another similar stimulus flashed in close temporal proximity. Almost irrespective of where the two stimuli appear, they are usually perceived as colocalized, and, in some special cases, this leads to annulling the perisaccadic mislocalization (Morrone et al. 1997, Park et al. 2003, Pola 2007, Zhang et al. 2004). For example, no mislocalization occurs when the perisaccadic stimulus is preceded by a prolonged continuous or flickering stimulus with similar features (Sogo & Osaka 2001, Watanabe et al. 2005). We performed a systematic study of these interactions, using pairs of flashed stimuli separated by 80 ms. In one of the experiments, the probe was flashed at a




Figure 2

Effect of saccades on apparent bar position. (a) Perceived position of green bars, briefly flashed on a red background at various times relative to the onset of a reflexive saccade from -10° to $+10^\circ$. (b) Same plot as in panel a but for stimuli presented at various times relative to the second of a two-step saccade sequence aimed at a memorized target. (c) Spatiotemporal map of interactions (relative distance) between a perisaccadic probe bar presented between -20 and 0 ms at screen position 0° (black symbol) and a similar reference bar presented at random position and time: The abscissae and the ordinate report the temporal and spatial position of the reference bar. The gray and black lines show, respectively, the position of the fovea and of the saccade target. The insets show schematically the position and shape of the stimuli and of the saccadic and fixation targets at the time of the saccade. Panel a is adapted from data from Morrone et al. (1997) and Ross et al. (1997), panel b is adapted from data from Zimmermann et al. (2015), and panel c is adapted from data from Cicchini et al. (2013).

fixed location (as in **Figure 2a**, *green bar*) but was followed by a reference stimulus at variable spatial separation (because of the 80-ms temporal distance, the reference was always postsaccadic and always seen clearly and stably). We found that the two bars interacted with each other and were mostly perceived as superimposed at the same position. This strong attraction is observed within a very broad range (**Figure 2c**) of spatial separations, as large as the saccade itself (about 20° of horizontal space) and across a large range of temporal separations, 200–300 ms, about four times the saccadic duration. Consistent results have also been obtained with a completely different technique—the noisy image classification technique: This estimates the spatiotemporal window over which stimuli are integrated and shows that during saccades, this is enlarged and slanted in space-time (Panichi et al. 2012). In contrast, relative independence of mislocalization was found between stimuli of different shapes presented at different temporal intervals (Hamker et al. 2008), displaced orthogonally to the saccade (Morrone et al. 1997, Sogo & Osaka 2002), or of incongruent orientations (Cicchini et al. 2013). These findings suggest that the visual system has a mechanism for maintaining positional constancy of objects across saccades, within a large but well-defined spatiotemporal receptive field. Perisaccadic compression may be a by-product of this mechanism, attempting to fuse perisaccadic stimuli with any sensible visual signal available after the saccade that may potentially match the presaccadic stimuli: In the lack of a better match, the saccade target might serve as an attractor, given the large amount of attentional resources that it absorbs during saccadic programming (Deubel & Schneider 1996; for a review, see Kowler 2011).

The key feature of the spatiotemporal interaction field in **Figure 2c** is its orientation in space-time: The field is slanted along the trajectory of spurious retinal motion. We suggest that this reflects the action of transiently altered neuronal receptive fields. Visual detectors with spatiotemporally oriented receptive fields are very common in the primate brain. They are fundamental for the computation of motion trajectories, particularly for perceiving the form of the moving object, which would otherwise be subject to heavy motion smear (Burr 1980; for a review, see Burr & Thompson 2011). All models of motion perception from Reichardt's (1957) classic proposal involve nonlinear combination of systematically delayed signals, which generates a spatiotemporal orientation of receptive fields. An analogous strategy may be used to stabilize visual images during saccades, as transiently oriented receptive fields could serve to effectively eliminate the spurious motion signals caused by the movement of the eyes. This profound alteration of neural receptive fields may be crucial to achieve perceptual stability. The perisaccadic extension in space and in time is so large that both pre- and postsaccadic information can activate the same detector, allowing for the integration of images from the two successive fixations. Importantly, only congruent information, concerning similar features, will be integrated.

This mechanism for perisaccadic fusion of congruent information could be implemented by the remapping of receptive fields observed in many visual cortical cells (Duhamel et al. 1992). We do not discuss how and where in the brain this phenomenon is observed; these are thoroughly reviewed by Wurtz (2008). What we would like to highlight is the computational mechanism that might support the formation of a such a spatiotemporal receptive field oriented along the saccadic direction, as seen in **Figure 2c**. At least two elements are necessary. One is an intention-to-move or corollary discharge signal, necessary to give the direction and amplitude of the saccade to which the interaction field must be parallel. And the other is, like in Reichardt's model, a temporal delay of visual processing, which varies with stimulus position and determines the space-time orientation of the field.

Although not in the focus of the initial investigations, there is now good evidence that atypical delays are a key feature of perisaccadic visual processing. Binda et al. (2009) showed that the perceived time at saccadic onset (measured by matching an auditory tone) is delayed by about 100 ms. This perceived delay is consistent with the delay of the so-called remapped response

observed by Nakamura & Colby (2002) and Wang et al. (2016): Visual activity at the future postsaccadic position of the receptive field typically emerges with a much longer latency than typical visual responses, and the onset of the response is often locked to the time of the saccade execution rather than to stimulus presentation (Sommer & Wurtz 2006). This delay also implies that stimuli presented at saccadic onset are coincident with stimuli presented soon after saccades, and this could facilitate the interpretation of their position in the postsaccadic coordinate system, in a form of postdiction (Eagleman & Sejnowski 2000). Possibly related is the phenomenon of chronostasis, where the perceptual report is of time “stopping” at the end of a saccade, transiently freezing the state of the world with each saccade (Yarrow et al. 2001). However, chronostasis is observed also during simulated saccades, suggesting that a strong component of the phenomenon may be passive and related to stimulus visibility and masking (Knöll et al. 2013). In contrast, the perisaccadic time-interval distortion (**Figure 3a**) is tightly linked to saccade execution, like spatial mislocalizations; and we found that the size of the perceptual temporal delay is correlated—on a trial by trial basis—with the size of mislocalization errors. All this fits with the idea that temporal delay is one of the mechanisms explaining spatial perception during saccades, and it is also consistent with the long temporal extension of the perisaccadic receptive field (**Figure 2c**), which extends well after the saccade is completed. Two independent proposals model the temporal and spatial effects together, assuming a traveling wave of activity moves in the remapping direction, from the original to the future location of the receptive field over the short time preceding the saccade—a concept proposed by Binda et al. (2009) and formalized by Wang et al. (2016).

Delay is not the only temporal distortion seen perisaccadically; about 50 ms before saccade, the opposite effect is observed, with a latency reduction of about 20 ms (**Figure 3a**) (Binda et al. 2009). This may seem to be a small effect, but it is sufficient to produce the most dramatic alteration of the sense of time: an inversion of the perceived temporal sequence (Binda et al. 2009, Kresevic et al. 2016, Morrone et al. 2005b, Yabe et al. 2014), as exemplified in **Figure 3b**. Again, this is consistent with the neurophysiology of visual responses, as the presaccadic latencies of remapping neurons in areas MT and MST are shorter before real saccades than simulated saccades (Price et al. 2005). Temporal inversion before the saccade and postdiction around the saccade also affect the perceived duration of perisaccadic events (Morrone et al. 2005b). When asked to compare the perceived duration of a temporal interval presented around the time of a saccade with one presented 2 s afterward, subjects judged it much shorter, about half the duration (**Figure 3c**). The time course of the temporal distortion is quite tight and, after taking into account the duration of the stimuli and the effect of contraction, similar to that of the spatial compression; temporal and spatial compression may well be manifestations of a common neural cause, possibly the same distortion in the space-time metric induced by the transient orientation of the interaction field (**Figure 2c**).

Another key feature of the latter is its spatial tolerance: it extends over several degrees in space (perisaccadic spread over the y -axis in **Figure 2c**). This suggests that localization during saccades is variable or imprecise—so much so that a probe at any given position can be seen as colocalized with a reference more than 20° away from it (for a 20° saccade). Perisaccadic loss of localization precision is consistent with much evidence that visual spatial information is degraded at the time of saccades and with modeling work showing that perisaccadic perception is well accounted for by optimal cue integration (Niemeier et al. 2003). One example is the evidence obtained by testing multisensory integration of audio-visual spatial cues during saccades. Auditory stimuli are usually far more difficult to localize in space than visual stimuli: When vision and sound are in conflict, vision dominates (the ventriloquist effect), as predicted by optimal integration. However, when visual stimuli are artificially degraded by blurring, audition can dominate (Alais & Burr 2004), again consistent with optimal integration. As saccades have little effect on auditory space perception




Figure 3

Time is also altered during saccades. (a) Perceived time of a bar, reported relative to two auditory markers, as a function of the delay from the saccadic onset. The histogram on the left shows the probability of perceiving the bar in each time bin. (b) Perceived duration of an interval marked by two visual flashes (separated by 100 ms and plotted as a function of the average flash delay from the saccade onset). Subject reported it by comparing the perisaccadic interval duration with a postsaccadic interval of variable duration: marked by another two visual flashes, presented 2 s after the saccade. (c) Perceived temporal order of two visual stimuli: The probability of reporting the correct temporal order of presentation for two short bars, separated by 20 ms, is plotted as a function of the average delay from saccadic onset. Chance performance is 0.5. The dashed horizontal lines report performance during fixation. Panels *a* and *b* are adapted from data from Binda et al. (2009), and panel *c* is adapted from data from Burr et al. (2010a). Abbreviations: PDF, probability density function; SOA, stimulus onset asynchrony; TOJ, temporal order judgment.

(Harris & Lieberman 1996), optimal integration predicts that, perisaccadically, audition should transiently become dominant over the degraded visual localization signals. Indeed, audio-visual stimuli (bars and beeps presented together in the same spatial position) are mislocalized much less than visual stimuli presented alone, in line with the idea that visual information is given a low weight during saccades (Binda et al. 2007). This model assumes that the multisensory integration occurs after visual signals are subject to mislocalization, and this is also supported by showing that the time course of visual mislocalization is unaltered when the perceived time of the visual stimuli is displaced forward or backward owing to an auditory temporal cue (Binda et al. 2010).

Optimal integration and loss of visual precision may also explain the phenomenon of saccadic suppression of displacement (Bridgeman et al. 1975). This is another form of insensitivity occurring during saccades that, despite its name, should not be considered as a manifestation of saccadic suppression of contrast sensitivity but as a form of spatial stability where matching pre- and postsaccadic stimuli are seen at the same spatial position, even when a large displacement is introduced during the saccade (for a review, see Zimmermann et al. 2014b). Niemeier et al. (2003) suggested that this is simply a consequence of near-optimal integration of retinal and extraretinal corollary discharge signals; and a similar concept has been recently proposed by Crevecoeur & Kording (2017). In all cases, the key concept is that visual information is imprecise during saccades, and therefore weighted less, ultimately favoring spatially stable, continuous perception. In fact, the large interaction field depicted in **Figure 2c** implements a mechanism for saccadic suppression of displacement (it allows for colocalizing transsaccadic stimuli across large displacements), essentially supporting spatial stability. However, it is important to point out that this transient reorganization of visual receptive fields is only the first step toward building a stable spatiotopic visual representation, which probably relies on additional coordinate transformations of spatial mechanisms anchored in an external coordinate system (for reviews, see Burr & Morrone 2011, 2012; Melcher & Morrone 2015; Zimmermann et al. 2014b).

What accounts for the large range of spatial integration and consequent spatial imprecision? One possibility is that the visual signal itself is degraded. Another possibility, which we favor, is that the noisiness and sluggishness are properties of the corollary discharge signal, which does not (and probably cannot) exactly reproduce the dynamics of the eye movement (Crevecoeur & Kording 2017). This is in line with computational work as well as with experimental work attempting to manipulate the reliability of corollary discharge signals (e.g., by studying rapid sequences of eye movements as in the double-step paradigm). There is evidence suggesting that the two steps are planned in parallel before the initiation of the sequence and that a single corollary discharge signal is issued at the beginning of the movement. If this were the case, lack of a corollary discharge signal during the second saccade would explain both the absence of compression (**Figure 2b**) and also, crucially, that perceptual stability is challenged during this second saccade, supporting the concept that compression reveals a mechanism important for transsaccadic stability (Zimmermann et al. 2018).

Our proposal is that all these spatiotemporal distortions of localization are a consequence of the mechanisms aimed at maintaining perceptual stability across saccades, which depend on the action of intention-to-move or corollary-discharge signals. Others have questioned this idea, showing that qualitatively similar phenomena may occur away from saccades, simply in connection with a visual disturbance that partially resembles the fast retinal motion during the eye movement (Zimmermann et al. 2014a). However, those effects are small compared with the effects of saccades: Spatial compression during saccades reduces the distance among stimuli by nearly 100% or more, whereas the maximum compression observed with masking is about 50% (Zimmermann et al. 2014a); similarly, the temporal compression effect demonstrated by Morrone et al. (2005b) was as strong as 50%, whereas it never goes beyond 20% in the masking experiments by Zimmermann et al. (2014a). Like for saccadic suppression, we do not question that masking

effects accompany the execution of a saccade. Simply, we note that these effects are not nearly large enough to explain the distortions observed during the saccade.

PERISACCADIC CHANGES OF HIGH-LEVEL VISUAL AND COGNITIVE PROCESSES

The process of establishing a spatiotemporal continuity of visual perception appears to impact higher-level functions as well—not just spatial and temporal localization, but also numerosity, size perception, and mental calculation. This provides one of the most robust pieces of evidence on the existence of a shared metric for quantity (Walsh 2003), which overlaps the neural representation of motor actions, and accompanying intention-to-move or corollary discharge signals (Burr et al. 2010a). Several investigators have postulated links between space, time, and number, often from circumstantial and somewhat weak evidence. Much relies on the coincidence of neural areas (e.g., intraparietal cortex) and on small advantages in reaction times that can often be attributed to congruency effects. The fact that reaction times are faster to small numbers on the left and larger numbers on the right does not necessarily imply a hardwired connection. However, the effect of saccades appears to be congruent and synchronized: always an underestimate of magnitude, always peaking at saccade onset, always recovering with the same dynamics (after accounting for the stimulus duration itself). Spatial and temporal compression consist in underestimating distance—in space and time. These are accompanied by an underestimation of numerosity (Binda et al. 2011, Burr et al. 2010a), a primary sensory feature (Burr & Ross 2008), perceived independently of other spatial attributes like texture and size (Cicchini et al. 2016). This means the same number of dots is perceived as less numerous if transiently flashed perisaccadically. To demonstrate this, we asked subjects to compare the number of elements in a random test array flashed at the time of a saccade to that of a reference stimulus presented well before the saccade (Binda et al. 2011). **Figure 4a** illustrates how apparent numerosity varied with time relative to the saccade. Well before or after the saccade, numerosity estimation is veridical, but near saccadic onset, there is a large and systematic underestimation of number—perceived numerosity is nearly halved. The time course of the compression follows closely those of space and of time. As with saccadic compression of space and time, there is no underestimation of number when simulating the saccade with a fast mirror motion (Binda et al. 2009, 2011; Morrone et al. 1997). Importantly, the spatial displacement and compression of the individual elements cannot account for the effect, as shown by a series of control conditions as well as by a subsequent study using symbolic representations of numerical quantity (Binda et al. 2012). In the latter, we show that numerical magnitude is misestimated even when it is represented by digits that subjects had to sum or subtract (**Figure 4b**). When the saccade was made during the mental arithmetic (i.e., the digits were displayed before the saccade, between the appearance of the saccade target and the onset of the saccade), the result was systematically underestimated (Binda et al. 2012): a so-called compression of about 10%, proportionally similar for large two-digit figures and smaller one-digit figures.

How would mental arithmetic be affected by spatiotemporal remapping? One possibility is that action and number (quantity) representation impinge on the very same circuit, as also suggested by other lines of evidence where the execution of a repetitive action, tapping, influences the perception of numerosity: Our perception of how many dots are on the screen depends on how many times we tapped (Anobile et al. 2016, Arrighi et al. 2014). Of course, saccades (and other actions) also impact more general-domain abilities, like short-term memory and attention. These functions certainly have an effect on complex cognitive tasks, but again, evidence to date suggests that the effects of saccades are much stronger than the effects of dividing or diverting attention (e.g., for numerosity; Burr et al. 2010b).




Figure 4

Saccadic distortions extend to our sense of number. (a) Numerosity of a cloud of dots, flashed at variable times from the saccade, and reported by comparing it with a similar cloud of dots shown postsaccadically. (b) Underestimation also characterizes numerosity represented symbolically. A pair of numerals (one digit or two digits) were shown at variable times from the saccade; subjects had to sum or subtract the numerals and judge the results relative to a subsequently presented probe digit. Panel *a* is adapted from data from Binda et al. (2011), and panel *b* is adapted from data from Binda et al. (2012).

INDEXING INTENTION AND ATTENTION THROUGH PUPIL DIAMETER

The studies discussed in the previous sections highlight the importance of considering the role of attention in eye movements (for a full discussion of attentional modulation during saccades, please refer to Kowler 2011, Zhao et al. 2012). Disentangling the effect of attention and eye movements has been a continual effort since Kowler and colleagues accepted the challenge in 1995 (Deubel & Schneider 1996, Kowler et al. 1995, Sheliga et al. 1995). However, recent research into movements of intrinsic muscles controlling the pupils of the eyes suggests that attention and intention may be efficiently and implicitly tracked by measuring pupil diameter.

The light response was historically considered a low-level reflex without any cognitive component (Loewenfeld 1993), preserved across many vertebrates and even some invertebrates (Douglas et al. 2005). However, recent studies have shown that the light response in humans is far more than a reflex and reveals what you attend to, how you interpret what you see, and how you intend to act (Binda & Murray 2015a). For example, a recent series of studies have demonstrated a previously unappreciated role of attention in modulating the pupillary light response: The pupils constrict when a light is flashed, but they constrict more if the subject's attention is directed toward the light source, either voluntarily in human participants (Binda & Murray 2015b) or by microstimulation of a frontal eye field cell with receptive field covering the light source in nonhuman primates (Binda & Gamlin 2017, Ebitz & Moore 2017); and, conversely, when illumination remains constant, attending to a light source is sufficient to evoke a pupillary constriction (Binda et al. 2013, 2017).

Saccades provided one of the first pieces of evidence that the pupillary light reflex incorporates complex information of likely cortical origin. It was shown in the 1960s that the pupil constriction in response to a flash is markedly reduced if the flash occurs during a saccade and is thereby subject to saccadic suppression (Lorber et al. 1965, Zuber et al. 1966). We recently resumed this research comparing the suppression of pupillary responses with the suppression of visual perception (Benedetto & Binda 2016). Although both are systematic strong effects, peaking at about the time of saccade onset, we were surprised to find a lack of correlation between the two. The pupil response could be depleted (as shown comparing the colored traces in **Figure 1e**) in trials where the stimulus was detected, and it could be strong and brisk in trials where the stimulus was suppressed from awareness. This is not the first case where perisaccadic visual stimuli evoke different conscious and subconscious vision. For example, stimuli suppressed from awareness can still affect subsequently presented visual stimuli, like in the shape-contrast paradigm where a line presented perisaccadically and made invisible by saccadic suppression is nonetheless effective at biasing the apparent shape of a subsequently presented ellipse (Watson & Krekelberg 2009). Also, several experiments have shown different patterns of perisaccadic mislocalization when participants indicate their response by a verbal report or with a blind ballistic motor action, like pointing (Burr et al. 2001; Hallett & Lightstone 1976a,b; Hansen & Skavenski 1977, 1985; Morrone et al. 2005a). Pupillary light responses are an extreme example of nonconscious responses, being completely automatic and escaping voluntary control (Loewenfeld 1993). Taken together, these dissociations suggest that two (or more) partially independent pathways for visual processing exist (Goodale & Milner 1992, Mishkin et al. 1983), each independently interacting with corollary discharge information and differently weighted for different types of tasks.

Close examination of the time courses in **Figure 1e** suggests that there is more in the perisaccadic pupil behavior besides the suppression of light responses. The pupils dilate before the saccade; although small and transient, this pupil change has been found to predict the timing of the impending saccade (Mathot et al. 2015b). This dilation is linked to the arousal change associated with any decision, including that of making an eye movement, and as such, it is emerging as an important component of the orienting response: the turning of gaze, head, and attention in the direction of a sudden stimulus (Wang & Munoz 2015, Wang et al. 2015).

A more evident yet more mysterious component of the perisaccadic pupillary modulation is the large pupil constriction that immediately follows the saccade (**Figure 1e**). This resembles the short-lived constriction that is evoked by any non-luminance-modulated visual stimulus, including contrast modulations and motion transients. Could this constriction be a response to the retinal motion occurring during the saccade? Could the retinal motion affect the pupil even while escaping conscious perception (Mathot et al. 2015a)? Or is it possible that this small pupil modulation is a readout of one of the extraretinal signals that participate in mediating saccadic suppression? It is interesting to note that the very same pupillary constriction is elicited by eye blinks, which are associated with perceptual suppression similar to saccades (Hupe et al. 2009). Current experimental data are insufficient to draw any conclusion, and future experiments might tackle the problem with the same tools that have proved informative for the study of conscious vision during saccades (e.g., simulated saccades). It may also help in dissociating the effect of attention and intention during the programming and execution phase of a saccade and their consequences on vision.

CONCLUSION

Vision is always clear and stable, despite continual saccadic eye movements that reposition our gaze and generate spurious retinal motion. The brain actively anticipates the consequence of a future saccade to efficiently compensate for the shift in gaze and to prevent motion perception.

Selective suppression is directed toward the motion mechanisms to suppress the otherwise compelling motion early in processing, while stability across saccades is guaranteed by the integration of post- and presaccadic information across a slanted spatiotemporal field. However, these changes take place at the cost of precision of visual localization, which becomes poor, and impact multiple dimensions, such as numerosity and multisensory perception. The internal and predictive signals that orchestrate these profound changes of perisaccadic visual mechanisms can be efficiently measured by pupillometry, which provides a new tool to dissociate active vision from conscious perception.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. Vision is always clear and stable, despite continual saccadic eye movements that reposition our gaze and generate spurious retinal motion. The brain actively anticipates the consequence of a future saccade and efficiently compensates for the shift in gaze.
2. An internal active signal (corollary discharge) mediates suppression of visual sensitivity to luminance contrast but not to chromatic contrast, suggesting a different modulation of magnocellular and parvocellular visual pathways. Selective suppression is directed toward the motion mechanisms to suppress the otherwise compelling motion early in processing.
3. The precise timing of suppression at saccadic onset is embedded in a rhythmic modulation of sensitivity at delta frequency synchronized with the intention-to-move signal.
4. Perceptual stability across saccades is guaranteed by the integration of post- and presaccadic information across a slanted spatiotemporal field, which has been measured from transient errors in space and time localizations.
5. The perisaccadic reorganization of the spatiotemporal fields, transient in nature, may have a broader impact on perception, affecting the metrics of visual representations. Not only is perception of space and time distorted, but so too is perception of quantity, like that involved for numerosity and arithmetic.
6. The internal and predictive signals that orchestrate these profound changes of perisaccadic visual mechanisms can be efficiently measured by pupillometry, which provides a new tool to dissociate active vision from conscious perception.

FUTURE ISSUES

1. How is the corollary discharge signal generated?
2. Does the same corollary discharge signal mediate both the visual suppression and the visual field reorganization that occur at the time of saccades?
3. Do intrinsic brain rhythms orchestrate sensory-motor coordination across saccades?
4. Does pupil size reflect the action of corollary discharge?

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This review was supported by the European Research Council under the Seventh Framework Program, FPT/2007–2013 (project ECSPLAIN grant agreement no. 338866) and by the Fondazione Roma under the Grants for Biomedical Research: Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP) Call for Proposals 2013 for “Cortical Plasticity in Retinitis Pigmentosa: An Integrated Study from Animal Models to Humans.” We are grateful to David Burr for his comments on the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alais D, Burr D. 2004. The ventriloquist effect results from near-optimal bimodal integration. *Curr. Biol.* 14:257–62
- Alhazen I. 1083 (1989). Book of optics. In *The Optics of Ibn al-Haytham*, transl. AI Sabra. London: Warburg Inst.
- Allison RS, Schumacher J, Sadr S, Herpers R. 2010. Apparent motion during saccadic suppression periods. *Exp. Brain Res.* 202:155–69
- Anobile G, Arrighi R, Togoli I, Burr DC. 2016. A shared numerical representation for action and perception. *eLife* 5:e16161
- Arrighi R, Togoli I, Burr DC. 2014. A generalized sense of number. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281:20141791
- Awater H, Lappe M. 2006. Mislocalization of perceived saccade target position induced by perisaccadic visual stimulation. *J. Neurosci.* 26:12–20
- Benedetto A, Binda P. 2016. Dissociable saccadic suppression of pupillary and perceptual responses to light. *J. Neurophysiol.* 115:1243–51
- Benedetto A, Morrone MC. 2017. Saccadic suppression is embedded within extended oscillatory modulation of sensitivity. *J. Neurosci.* 37:3661–70
- Binda P, Bruno A, Burr DC, Morrone MC. 2007. Fusion of visual and auditory stimuli during saccades: a Bayesian explanation for perisaccadic distortions. *J. Neurosci.* 27:8525–32
- Binda P, Cicchini GM, Burr DC, Morrone MC. 2009. Spatiotemporal distortions of visual perception at the time of saccades. *J. Neurosci.* 29:13147–57
- Binda P, Gamlin PD. 2017. Renewed attention on the pupil light reflex. *Trends Neurosci.* 40:455–57
- Binda P, Morrone MC, Bremmer F. 2012. Saccadic compression of symbolic numerical magnitude. *PLOS ONE* 7:e49587
- Binda P, Morrone MC, Burr DC. 2010. Temporal auditory capture does not affect the time course of saccadic mislocalization of visual stimuli. *J. Vis.* 10(2):7
- Binda P, Morrone MC, Ross J, Burr DC. 2011. Underestimation of perceived number at the time of saccades. *Vis. Res.* 51:34–42
- Binda P, Murray SO. 2015a. Keeping a large-pupilled eye on high-level visual processing. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 19:1–3
- Binda P, Murray SO. 2015b. Spatial attention increases the pupillary response to light changes. *J. Vis.* 15(2):1
- Binda P, Pereverzeva M, Murray SO. 2013. Attention to bright surfaces enhances the pupillary light reflex. *J. Neurosci.* 33:2199–204
- Binda P, Straßer T, Stingl K, Richter P, Peters T, et al. 2017. Pupil response components: attention-light interaction in patients with Parinaud’s syndrome. *Sci. Rep.* 7:10283
- Bodis-Wollner I, Bucher SF, Seelos KC. 1999. Cortical activation patterns during voluntary blinks and voluntary saccades. *Neurology* 53:1800–5
- Boi M, Poletti M, Victor JD, Rucci M. 2017. Consequences of the oculomotor cycle for the dynamics of perception. *Curr. Biol.* 27:1268–77
- Braun DI, Schatz AC, Gegenfurtner KR. 2017. Visual sensitivity for luminance and chromatic stimuli during the execution of smooth pursuit and saccadic eye movements. *Vis. Res.* 136:57–69
- Bridgeman B, Hendry D, Stark L. 1975. Failure to detect displacement of visual world during saccadic eye movements. *Vis. Res.* 15:719–22
- Bruno A, Brambati SM, Perani D, Morrone MC. 2006. Development of saccadic suppression in children. *J. Neurophysiol.* 96:1011–17

- Burr DC. 1980. Motion smear. *Nature* 284:164–65
- Burr DC, Holt J, Johnstone JR, Ross J. 1982. Selective depression of motion sensitivity during saccades. *J. Physiol.* 333:1–15
- Burr DC, Morgan MJ, Morrone MC. 1999. Saccadic suppression precedes visual motion analysis. *Curr. Biol.* 9:1207–9
- Burr DC, Morrone MC. 1996. Temporal impulse response functions for luminance and colour during saccades. *Vis. Res.* 36:2069–78
- Burr DC, Morrone MC. 2011. Spatiotopic coding and remapping in humans. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 366:504–15
- Burr DC, Morrone MC. 2012. Constructing stable spatial maps of the world. *Perception* 41:1355–72
- Burr DC, Morrone MC, Ross J. 1994. Selective suppression of the magnocellular visual pathway during saccadic eye movements. *Nature* 371:511–13
- Burr DC, Morrone MC, Ross J. 2001. Separate visual representations for perception and action revealed by saccadic eye movements. *Curr. Biol.* 11:798–802
- Burr DC, Ross J. 1982. Contrast sensitivity at high velocities. *Vis. Res.* 23:3567–69
- Burr DC, Ross J. 2008. A visual sense of number. *Curr. Biol.* 18:425–28
- Burr DC, Ross J, Binda P, Morrone MC. 2010a. Saccades compress space, time and number. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 14:528–33
- Burr DC, Thompson P. 2011. Motion psychophysics: 1985–2010. *Vis. Res.* 51:1431–56
- Burr DC, Turi M, Anobile G. 2010b. Subitizing but not estimation of numerosity requires attentional resources. *J. Vis.* 10(6):20
- Campbell FW, Wurtz RH. 1978. Saccadic omission: why we do not see a greyout during a saccadic eye movement. *Vis. Res.* 18:1297–303
- Castet E, Jeanjean S, Masson GS. 2002. Motion perception of saccade-induced retinal translation. *PNAS* 99:15159–63
- Castet E, Masson GS. 2000. Motion perception during saccadic eye movements. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3:177–83
- Cicchini GM, Anobile G, Burr DC. 2016. Spontaneous perception of numerosity in humans. *Nat. Commun.* 7:12536
- Cicchini M, Binda P, Burr D, Morrone M. 2013. Transient spatiotopic integration across saccadic eye movements mediates visual stability. *J. Neurophysiol.* 109:1117–25
- Crevecoeur F, Kording KP. 2017. Saccadic suppression as a perceptual consequence of efficient sensorimotor estimation. *eLife* 6:e25073
- Deubel H, Schneider WX. 1996. Saccade target selection and object recognition: evidence for a common attentional mechanism. *Vis. Res.* 36:1827–37
- Diamond MR, Ross J, Morrone MC. 2000. Extraretinal control of saccadic suppression. *J. Neurosci.* 20:3442–48
- Dodge R. 1900. Visual perception during eye movements. *Psychol. Rev.* 7:454–65
- Dorr M, Bex PJ. 2013. Peri-saccadic natural vision. *J. Neurosci.* 33:1211–17
- Douglas RH, Williamson R, Wagner HJ. 2005. The pupillary response of cephalopods. *J. Exp. Biol.* 208:261–65
- Duhamel JR, Colby CL, Goldberg ME. 1992. The updating of the representation of visual space in parietal cortex by intended eye movements. *Science* 255:90–92
- Eagleman DM, Sejnowski TJ. 2000. Motion integration and postdiction in visual awareness. *Science* 287:2036–38
- Ebitz RB, Moore T. 2017. Selective modulation of the pupil light reflex by microstimulation of prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 37:5008–18
- Engel AK, Fries P, Singer W. 2001. Dynamic predictions: oscillations and synchrony in top-down processing. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2:704–16
- Fischer B, Biscaldi M, Gezeck S. 1997. On the development of voluntary and reflexive components in human saccade generation. *Brain Res.* 754:285–97
- Goodale MA, Milner AD. 1992. Separate pathways for perception and action. *Trends Neurosci.* 15:20–25
- Gu X-J, Hu M, Li B, Hu X-T. 2014. The role of contrast adaptation in saccadic suppression in humans. *PLOS ONE* 9:e86542

- Hallett PE, Lightstone AD. 1976a. Saccadic eye movements towards stimuli triggered by prior saccades. *Vis. Res.* 16:99–106
- Hallett PE, Lightstone D. 1976b. Saccadic eye movements to flashed targets. *Vis. Res.* 16:107–14
- Hamker FH, Zirnsak M, Lappe M. 2008. About the influence of post-saccadic mechanisms for visual stability on peri-saccadic compression of object location. *J. Vis.* 8(14):1
- Hansen RM, Skavenski AA. 1977. Accuracy of eye position information for motor control. *Vis. Res.* 17:919–26
- Hansen RM, Skavenski AA. 1985. Accuracy of spatial locations near the time of saccadic eye movements. *Vis. Res.* 25:1077–82
- Harris LR, Lieberman L. 1996. Auditory stimulus detection is not suppressed during saccadic eye movements. *Perception* 25:999–1004
- Helmholtz HV. 1866 (1963). *Handbuch der Physiologischen Optik*. In *A Treatise on Physiological Optics*, ed. JPC Southall. New York: Dover
- Holt EB. 1903. Eye movements and central anaesthesia. *Psychol. Rev.* 4:3–45
- Honda H. 1991. The time courses of visual mislocalization and of extra-retinal eye position signals at the time of vertical saccades. *Vis. Res.* 31:1915–21
- Hupe JM, Lamirel C, Lorenceau J. 2009. Pupil dynamics during bistable motion perception. *J. Vis.* 9(7):10
- Kaiser M, Lappe M. 2004. Perisaccadic mislocalization orthogonal to saccade direction. *Neuron* 41:293–300
- Kleiser R, Seitz RJ, Kregelberg B. 2004. Neural correlates of saccadic suppression in humans. *Curr. Biol.* 14:386–90
- Knöll J, Binda P, Morrone MC, Bremmer F. 2011. Spatiotemporal profile of peri-saccadic contrast sensitivity. *J. Vis.* 11(14):15
- Knöll J, Morrone MC, Bremmer F. 2013. Spatio-temporal topography of saccadic overestimation of time. *Vis. Res.* 83:56–65
- Kowler E. 2011. Eye movements: the past 25 years. *Vis. Res.* 51:1457–83
- Kowler E, Anderson E, Doshier B, Blaser E. 1995. The role of attention in the programming of saccades. *Vis. Res.* 35:1897–916
- Krešević JL, Marinović W, Johnston A, Arnold DH. 2016. Time order reversals and saccades. *Vis. Res.* 125:23–29
- Lappe M, Awater H, Kregelberg B. 2000. Postsaccadic visual references generate presaccadic compression of space. *Nature* 403:892–95
- Lappe M, Kuhlmann S, Oerke B, Kaiser M. 2006. The fate of object features during perisaccadic mislocalization. *J. Vis.* 6(11):11
- Loewenfeld I. 1993. *The Pupil: Anatomy, Physiology, and Clinical Applications*. Detroit, MI: Wayne State Univ. Press
- Lorber M, Zuber BL, Stark L. 1965. Suppression of pupillary light reflex in binocular rivalry and saccadic suppression. *Nature* 208:558–60
- Mateeff S. 1978. Saccadic eye movements and localization of visual stimuli. *Percept. Psychophys.* 24:215–24
- Mathot S, Melmi JB, Castet E. 2015a. Intrasaccadic perception triggers pupillary constriction. *PeerJ* 3:e1150
- Mathot S, van der Linden L, Grainger J, Vitu F. 2015b. The pupillary light response reflects eye-movement preparation. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 41:28–35
- Matin L, Pearce DG. 1965. Visual perception of direction for stimuli flashed during voluntary saccadic eye movements. *Science* 148:1485–87
- Matsumiya K, Uchikawa K. 2001. Apparent size of an object remains uncompressed during presaccadic compression of visual space. *Vis. Res.* 41:3039–50
- Maurer D, Lewis TL, Mondloch CJ. 2005. Missing sights: consequences for visual cognitive development. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 9:144–51
- McFarland JM, Bondy AG, Saunders RC, Cumming BG, Butts DA. 2015. Saccadic modulation of stimulus processing in primary visual cortex. *Nat. Commun.* 6:8110
- Melcher D, Morrone MC. 2015. Nonretinotopic visual processing in the brain. *Vis. Neurosci.* 32:E017
- Mishkin M, Ungerleider LG, Macko KA. 1983. Object vision and spatial vision: two cortical pathways. *Trends Neurosci.* 6:414–17
- Mitrani L, Mateeff S, Yakimoff N. 1970. Temporal and spatial characteristics of visual suppression during voluntary saccadic eye movement. *Vis. Res.* 10:417–22

- Morrone MC, Ma-Wyatt A, Ross J. 2005a. Seeing and ballistic pointing at perisaccadic targets. *J. Vis.* 5(7):741–54
- Morrone MC, Ross J, Burr D. 2005b. Saccadic eye movements cause compression of time as well as space. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8:950–54
- Morrone MC, Ross J, Burr DC. 1997. Apparent position of visual targets during real and simulated saccadic eye movements. *J. Neurosci.* 17:7941–53
- Nakamura K, Colby CL. 2002. Updating of the visual representation in monkey striate and extrastriate cortex during saccades. *PNAS* 99:4026–31
- Niemeier M, Crawford JD, Tweed DB. 2003. Optimal transsaccadic integration explains distorted spatial perception. *Nature* 422:76–80
- Panichi M, Burr D, Morrone MC, Baldassi S. 2012. Spatiotemporal dynamics of perisaccadic remapping in humans revealed by classification images. *J. Vis.* 12(4):11
- Park J, Schlag-Rey M, Schlag J. 2003. Spatial localization precedes temporal determination in visual perception. *Vis. Res.* 43:1667–74
- Parrish EE, Giaschi DE, Boden C, Dougherty R. 2005. The maturation of form and motion perception in school age children. *Vis. Res.* 45:827–37
- Paus T, Marrett S, Worsley KJ, Evans AC. 1995. Extraretinal modulation of cerebral blood flow in the human visual cortex: implications for saccadic suppression. *J. Neurophysiol.* 74:2179–83
- Pola J. 2007. A model of the mechanism for the perceived location of a single flash and two successive flashes presented around the time of a saccade. *Vis. Res.* 47:2798–813
- Price NS, Ibbotson MR, Ono S, Mustari MJ. 2005. Rapid processing of retinal slip during saccades in macaque area MT. *J. Neurophysiol.* 94:235–46
- Reichardt W. 1957. Autokorrelations-Auswertung als Funktionsprinzip des Zentralnervensystems. *Zeitschrift Für Naturforschung* 12:447–57
- Riggs LA, Merton PA, Morton HB. 1974. Suppression of visual phosphenes during saccadic eye movements. *Vis. Res.* 14:997–1011
- Ross J, Morrone MC, Burr DC. 1997. Compression of visual space before saccades. *Nature* 384:598–601
- Rucci M, Poletti M. 2015. Control and functions of fixational eye movements. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 1:499–518
- Schroeder CE, Wilson DA, Radman T, Scharfman H, Lakatos P. 2010. Dynamics of active sensing and perceptual selection. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 20:172–76
- Schutz AC, Braun DI, Gegenfurtner KR. 2011. Eye movements and perception: a selective review. *J. Vis.* 11(5):9
- Shapley RM, Enroth-Cugell C. 1984. Visual adaptation and retinal gain controls. In *Progress in Retinal Research*, Vol. 3, ed. NN Osborn, GJ Chadler, pp. 263–346. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press
- Shapley RM, Victor JD. 1981. How the contrast gain control modifies the frequency responses of cat retinal ganglion cells. *J. Physiol.* 318:161–79
- Sheliga BM, Riggio L, Rizzolatti G. 1995. Spatial attention and eye movements. *Exp. Brain Res.* 105:261–75
- Shioiri S, Cavanagh P. 1989. Saccadic suppression of low-level motion. *Vis. Res.* 29:915–28
- Sogo H, Osaka N. 2001. Perception of relation of stimuli locations successively flashed before saccade. *Vis. Res.* 41:935–42
- Sogo H, Osaka N. 2002. Effects of inter-stimulus interval on perceived locations of successively flashed perisaccadic stimuli. *Vis. Res.* 42:899–908
- Sommer MA, Wurtz RH. 2006. Influence of the thalamus on spatial visual processing in frontal cortex. *Nature* 444:374–77
- Sperry RW. 1950. Neural basis of the spontaneous optokinetic response produced by visual inversion. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psych.* 43:482–89
- Sun LD, Goldberg ME. 2016. Corollary discharge and oculomotor proprioception: cortical mechanisms for spatially accurate vision. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 2:61–84
- Sylvester R, Haynes JD, Rees G. 2005. Saccades differentially modulate human LGN and V1 responses in the presence and absence of visual stimulation. *Curr. Biol.* 15:37–41
- Thilo KV, Santoro L, Walsh V, Blakemore C. 2003. The site of saccadic suppression. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7:13–14
- Uchikawa K, Sato M. 1995. Saccadic suppression to achromatic and chromatic responses measured by increment-threshold spectral sensitivity. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 12:661–66

- Vallines I, Greenlee MW. 2006. Saccadic suppression of retinotopically localized blood oxygen level-dependent responses in human primary visual area V1. *J. Neurosci.* 26:5965–69
- VanRullen R, Koch C. 2003. Is perception discrete or continuous? *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 7:207–13
- Volkman FC, Riggs LA, White KD, Moore RK. 1978. Contrast sensitivity during saccadic eye movements. *Vis. Res.* 18:1193–99
- Von Holst E, Mittelstaedt H. 1954. Das Reafferenzprinzip. *Naturwissenschaften* 37:464–76
- Walsh V. 2003. A theory of magnitude: common cortical metrics of time, space and quantity. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 7:483–88
- Wang C-A, Brien DC, Munoz DP. 2015. Pupil size reveals preparatory processes in the generation of pro-saccades and anti-saccades. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 41:1102–10
- Wang C-A, Munoz DP. 2015. A circuit for pupil orienting responses: implications for cognitive modulation of pupil size. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 33:134–40
- Wang X, Fung CCA, Guan S, Wu S, Goldberg ME, Zhang M. 2016. Perisaccadic receptive field expansion in the lateral intraparietal area. *Neuron* 90:400–9
- Watanabe J, Noritake A, Maeda T, Tachi S, Nishida S. 2005. Perisaccadic perception of continuous flickers. *Vis. Res.* 45:413–30
- Watson TL, Krekelberg B. 2009. The relationship between saccadic suppression and perceptual stability. *Curr. Biol.* 19:1040–43
- Watson TL, Krekelberg B. 2011. An equivalent noise investigation of saccadic suppression. *J. Neurosci.* 31:6535–41
- Wittenberg M, Bremmer F, Wachtler T. 2008. Perceptual evidence for saccadic updating of color stimuli. *J. Vis.* 8(14):9
- Woodworth RS. 1906. Vision and localization during eye movements. *Psychol. Bull.* 3:68–70
- Wurtz RH. 2008. Neuronal mechanisms of visual stability. *Vis. Res.* 48:2070–89
- Yabe Y, Goodale MA, Shigemasa H. 2014. Temporal order judgments are disrupted more by reflexive than by voluntary saccades. *J. Neurophysiol.* 111:2103–8
- Yarrow K, Haggard P, Heal R, Brown P, Rothwell JC. 2001. Illusory perceptions of space and time preserve cross-saccadic perceptual continuity. *Nature* 414:302–5
- Zhang Z-L, Cantor C, Ghose T, Schor CM. 2004. Temporal aspects of spatial interactions affecting stereo-matching solutions. *Vis. Res.* 44:3183–92
- Zhao M, Gersch TM, Schnitzer BS, Doshier BA, Kowler E. 2012. Eye movements and attention: the role of pre-saccadic shifts of attention in perception, memory and the control of saccades. *Vis. Res.* 74:40–60
- Zimmermann E, Born S, Fink GR, Cavanagh P. 2014a. Masking produces compression of space and time in the absence of eye movements. *J. Neurophysiol.* 112:3066–76
- Zimmermann E, Morrone MC, Binda P. 2018. Perception during double-step saccades. *Sci. Rep.* 8:320
- Zimmermann E, Morrone MC, Burr DC. 2014b. Buildup of spatial information over time and across eye-movements. *Behav. Brain Res.* 275:281–87
- Zimmermann E, Morrone MC, Burr DC. 2014c. The visual component to saccadic compression. *J. Vis.* 14(12):13
- Zimmermann E, Morrone MC, Burr DC. 2015. Visual mislocalization during saccade sequences. *Exp. Brain Res.* 233:577–85
- Zuber BL, Stark L, Lorber M. 1966. Saccadic suppression of the pupillary light reflex. *Exp. Neurol.* 14:351–70

Contents

A Life in Vision <i>John E. Dowling</i>	1
MicroRNAs in Retinal Development <i>Thomas A. Reh and Robert Hindges</i>	25
Microglia in the Retina: Roles in Development, Maturity, and Disease <i>Sean M. Silverman and Wai T. Wong</i>	45
Plasticity of Retinal Gap Junctions: Roles in Synaptic Physiology and Disease <i>John O'Brien and Stewart A. Bloomfield</i>	79
Retinal Vasculature in Development and Diseases <i>Ye Sun and Lois E.H. Smith</i>	101
Parallel Processing of Rod and Cone Signals: Retinal Function and Human Perception <i>William N. Grimes, Adree Songco-Aguas, and Fred Rieke</i>	123
Elementary Motion Detection in <i>Drosophila</i> : Algorithms and Mechanisms <i>Helen H. Yang and Thomas R. Clandinin</i>	143
Neural Mechanisms of Motion Processing in the Mammalian Retina <i>Wei Wei</i>	165
Vision During Saccadic Eye Movements <i>Paola Binda and Maria Concetta Morrone</i>	193
Corollary Discharge Contributions to Perceptual Continuity Across Saccades <i>Robert H. Wurtz</i>	215
Visual Function, Organization, and Development of the Mouse Superior Colliculus <i>Jianhua Cang, Elise Savier, Jad Barchini, and Xiaorong Liu</i>	239
Thalamocortical Circuits and Functional Architecture <i>Jens Kremkow and Jose-Manuel Alonso</i>	263

Linking V1 Activity to Behavior <i>Eyal Seidemann and Wilson S. Geisler</i>	287
A Tale of Two Visual Systems: Invariant and Adaptive Visual Information Representations in the Primate Brain <i>Yaoda Xu</i>	311
Blindness and Human Brain Plasticity <i>Ione Fine and Ji-Min Park</i>	337
How Visual Cortical Organization Is Altered by Ophthalmologic and Neurologic Disorders <i>Serge O. Dumoulin and Tomas Knapen</i>	357
The Organization and Operation of Inferior Temporal Cortex <i>Bevil R. Conway</i>	381
Invariant Recognition Shapes Neural Representations of Visual Input <i>Andrea Tacchetti, Leyla Isik, and Tomaso A. Poggio</i>	403
Shape from Contour: Computation and Representation <i>James Elder</i>	423
Geometry of Pictorial Relief <i>Jan J. Koenderink, Andrea J. van Doorn, and Joban Wagemans</i>	451
Color Perception: Objects, Constancy, and Categories <i>Christoph Witzel and Karl R. Gegenfurtner</i>	475
Motion Perception: From Detection to Interpretation <i>Shin'ya Nishida, Takahiro Kawabe, Masataka Sawayama, and Taiki Fukiage</i>	501

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Vision Science* articles may be found at <http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/vision>